IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDY, THE EIGTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 5407 of 2005

Between:

T. Lakshmi Saraswati W/o. T.L.N. Sharma
R/o. 8-2-684/122B, N.B.T. Nagar, Banjara Hills,
Hyderabad-12.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep,. by its Inspector of Police, Banjara Hills Police Station, Hyderabad.
2 Sub Inspector of Police, Banjara Hills Police Station, Hyderabad.
3 C. Venkata Ramana S/o. C. Subanna
R/o. 8-2-684/123, N.B.T. Nagar, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.
4 V. Raghava Sharma S/o. V.B. Sundaram
R/o. 8-2-684/122, N.B.T. Nagar, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or orders more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of respondents 1 & 2 in so far as not conducting the investigation in respect of Crime No. 124/2005 pending on the file of the 1st respondent herein and not seizing medical records and other valuable articles belonging to the petitioner from 4th respondent, as amounting highly, arbitrary, illegal and unconstitutional and consequently to direct the respondents 1 & 2 herein to lay charge sheet in respect of above said crime No. 124/2005.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.GOPALA KRISHNA KALANIDHI

Counsel for the Respondents 1 and 2: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner claims to have made a complaint before respondents 1 and 2 alleging that the 4th respondent has stolen his property and medical records and the same has been registered as Crime No. 124 of 2005. He states that no action has been taken thereon by respondents 1 and 2 so far. Hence, he seeks appropriate directions in this regard.

Heard both sides. Perused the material placed before me.

Having heard the learned counsel on either side, I am not inclined to express anything on the merits of matter. However, it must be observed that as and when a complaint is lodged by a citizen, it is incumbent upon the police officials to investigate into the same and to take appropriate action thereon, strictly in accordance with law.

Under the circumstances, it is directed that respondents 1 and 2 shall act upon the complaint said to have been lodged by the petitioner and proceed further, to the extent necessary, in accordance with law.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

06.04.2005

Jsu

To

1 The Inspector of Police, State of Andhra Pradesh, Banjara Hills Police Station, Hyderabad.
2 Sub Inspector of Police, Banjara Hills Police Station, Hyderabad.
3 2 CCs to the G.P for Home, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)
4 2 CD copies